

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,613	12/04/2003	Arvid M. Thompsen	687.02	1309
7590 07/09/2007 DERGOSITS & NOAH LLP			EXAMINER	
Attn: Andrew B. Schwaab Suite 1450 Four Embarcadero Center			CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco,			3635	
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/729,613	THOMPSEN, ARVID M.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chapman E. Jeanette	3635			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address			
Period fo		/ 10 05T TO 5VOIDS + 140NTH	(0) 00 THETY (00) DAYO			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ag	oril 2007.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[•					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Der No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Oate			

Election/Restrictions

Claims 3-4 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/25/07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-2 have no clear meaning: the claim recite a method in the claims preamble but no positive method limitations have been recited; there is no orderly or sequential or clear method steps recited; indeed it is difficult to distinguish possible method steps.; the text "during the application of the decorating pattern" has no clear meaning. The claims are being addressed as far as understood

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3635

Claim 1, as far as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Robell (6115926). Robell discloses a method of aligning a plate 2 provided with a decorating pattern prior to trimming comprising the plate which includes an alignment line; see column 6, lines 30-67; the line is used when aligning the plate;

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, as far as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robell in view of Cavit (6115926).

It is obvious to place the plate on a table or support surface prior to trimming; nevertheless, Cavit discloses a work piece used in combination with a table to facilitate alignment and measurement of the work piece or plate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the work piece or plate of Robell in combination with Cavit to facilitate alignment of the piece/plate as taught by Cavit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chapman E. Jeanette whose telephone number is 571-272-6841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-thursday, 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHILCOT RICHARD can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/729,613 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JEANETTE CHAPMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3635
